arXiv:math/0202251v5 [math.AG] 29 Jul 2003

HYPERBOLIC LOCALIZATION OF INTERSECTION COHOMOLOGY

TOM BRADEN

ABSTRACT. For a normal variety X defined over an algebraically closed field with an action of the multiplicative group $T = G_m$, we consider the "hyperbolic localization" functor $D^b(X) \to D^b(X^T)$, which localizes using closed supports in the directions flowing into the fixed points, and compact supports in the directions flowing out. We show that the hyperbolic localization of the intersection cohomology sheaf is a direct sum of intersection cohomology sheaves.

1. **Introduction.** Let X be a normal variety over an algebraically closed field k on which the the multiplicative group $T = G_m(k) = k^\times$ acts algebraically. Let $F = X^T$ be the variety of fixed points, with connected components $F_1, \dots, F_r$. Define varieties

$$X_k^+ = \{x \in X \mid \lim_{t\to 0} t \cdot x \in F_k\}, \text{ and}$$

$$X_k^- = \{x \in X \mid \lim_{t\to \infty} t \cdot x \in F_k\}.$$

Let X^+ (respectively X^-) be the disjoint (disconnected) union of all the X_k^+ (resp. X_k^-), and let $f^\pm \colon F \to X^\pm$ and $g^\pm \colon X^\pm \to X$ restrict to the inclusion maps on each component F_k, $X_k^\pm$.

If $k = \mathbb{C}$, let $\mathcal{D}(X)$ the category of constructible complexes of sheaves of on X over a commutative ring R of finite global dimension. Otherwise, let $\mathcal{D}(X)$ be either the derived category of étale sheaves on X with torsion coefficients prime to the characteristic of k, or one of the categories $D^b(X; \mathbb{Z}_l)$, $D^b(X; \mathbb{Q}_l)$, $D^b(X; \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l})$ obtained from them by standard limiting arguments.

Define *hyperbolic localization* functors $(\bullet)^{!*}, (\bullet)^{*!} \colon \mathcal{D}(X) \to \mathcal{D}(F)$ by

$$S^{!*} := (f^+)^!(g^+)^* S,$$

$$S^{*!} := (f^-)^*(g^-)^! S.$$

In general these functors are different; our first theorem gives sufficient conditions for them to be canonically isomorphic. Let $\mu \colon T \times X \to X$ be the map defining the action. Call an object $S \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ "weakly equivariant" if $\mu^* S \cong L \boxtimes S$ for some locally constant sheaf L on T. Equivariant perverse sheaves, and more generally objects of the equivariant derived category of [BL] give rise to weakly equivariant objects. Call S "T-constructible" if it

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55N33, 14L30.

is constructible with respect to a stratification by T-invariant subvarieties. Weakly equivariant objects are T-constructible, but not conversely.

Theorem 1. *There is a natural morphism $\iota_S\colon S^{*!} \to S^{!*}$, which is an isomorphism if S is weakly equivariant, or if $k = \mathbb{C}$, R is an algebraically closed field, and S is T-constructible.*

Roughly speaking, the stalks of $S^{!*}$ and $S^{*!}$ can be thought of as the local cohomology of S at a point of F with mixed supports — closed supports in the directions flowing into F, and compact supports in directions flowing away. These two kinds of supports come from limiting operations that do not in general commute; the content of Theorem 1 is that they do commute for weakly equivariant objects.

To motivate our second result, consider the case where X is a smooth projective variety over $\mathbb{C}$. Then the F_k are all smooth, and the varieties X_k^+, X_k^- are affine bundles over them, by [B-B]. Localizing the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Q}_X$ gives

$$(\mathbb{Q}_X)^{!*} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r} \mathbb{Q}_{F_k}[-n_k],$$

where $n_k = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} X_k^+ - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} F_k$, and there is an isomorphism between $H^\bullet(X;\mathbb{Q})$ and the hypercohomology

$$\mathbb{H}^\bullet((\mathbb{Q}_X)^{!*}) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{r} H^{\bullet - n_k}(F_k;\mathbb{Q}).$$

Kirwan [Ki] generalized this result to the intersection cohomology $IH^\bullet(X;\mathbb{Q})$ of a singular complex projective variety X: there is a non-canonical isomorphism $IH^\bullet(X;\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{H}^\bullet(F;\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X;\mathbb{Q})^{!*})$. Here $\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X)$ is the intersection cohomology complex of [GM].

To make Kirwan's result useful, one wants to be able to compute $\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X)^{!*}$. Our second result shows that it is as simple as one could hope. We assume either that $k = \mathbb{C}$ or else that $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ and X is obtained by extension of scalars from a variety defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $k = \mathbb{C}$, we take $\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X) = \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X;\mathbb{Q})$; if $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$, we take $\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X)$ to be the intersection cohomology complex in $D^b(X;\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l})$.

Theorem 2. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X)^{!*} \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(Y_j, \mathcal{L}_j)[d_j]$$

where $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ are irreducible closed subvarieties of F, each $\mathcal{L}_j$ is a local system on a Zariski open subset of the smooth locus of Y_j, and $d_j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remarks. (1) To see why either weak equivariance or constructibility is needed in Theorem 1, take $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ with the action $t \cdot (x, y) = (tx, t^{-1}y)$,

and let $S = i_*\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $i\colon \mathbb{C} \to X$ is the diagonal embedding. Then $S^{*!} = j^!S$ and $S^{!*} = j^*S$, where j is the inclusion of the origin into X.

(2) Replacing the torus action by the opposite action interchanges X^+ and X^-, so the hyperbolic localization functors are sent to their Verdier duals. Thus up to duality there is only one hyperbolic localization functor for weakly equivariant sheaves.

(3) In [GM] Goresky and MacPherson calculated local contributions to the Lefschetz fixed-point formula for a self-map (or more generally a correspondence) acting on a complex of sheaves on a singular space. They assume that the map is "weakly hyperbolic", which ensures that attracting and repelling directions can be defined. They give a sufficient condition (Proposition 9.2) for $\mathbb{H}^\bullet(S^{!*})$ and $\mathbb{H}^\bullet(S^{*!})$ to be isomorphic. This condition is satisfied when the self-map comes from a torus action; this can be used to show that Theorem 1 holds when $k = \mathbb{C}$ and S is T-constructible, without the assumption on the coefficient ring R.

(4) Theorem 2 is proved by using Theorem 1 to show that hyperbolic localization preserves purity of weakly equivariant mixed Hodge modules or mixed l-adic sheaves. Similar arguments were used in [Gi] [BrM] in the special case when the action is completely attracting near a fixed point component; in this case Theorem 1 is obvious.

(5) Except for this special case, it is not possible to replace hyperbolic localization by ordinary restriction in Theorem 2. For instance, take X to be a quadric cone in $M = \mathbb{C}^4$ with equation $xy - zw = 0$. Let the T-action be given by $t \cdot (x, y, z, w) = (tx, t^{-1}y, z, w)$. Then $F \cap X = X^T$ is the union of two lines, and $\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(X)|_F \simeq \mathbb{Q}_{F\cap X} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_0[-2]$, which is not a sum of intersection cohomology sheaves. A further computation with this example also shows that none of the functors $(f^+)^!$, $(f^-)^*$, $(g^+)^*$, $(g^-)^!$ preserve purity individually.

Finally, we mention a useful property of hyperbolic localization, which is used together with Theorem 2 in [BiBr] to give inequalities on Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials. We restrict to the case of sheaves on X with coefficients in a field.

Proposition 3. *Hyperbolic localization preserves local Euler characteristics: given any point $x \in F$ and any $S \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, we have*

$$\chi(S_x) = \chi((S^{!*})_x).$$

Since $(f^+)^! = \mathbb{D}_F(f^+)^*\mathbb{D}_{X^+}$, this follows from the fact that Verdier duality preserves local Euler characteristics. One way to see this is to note that $\mathcal{D}(X)$ is generated as a triangulated category by (shifted) intersection cohomology sheaves, and then use the fact that $\mathbb{D}_X\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(Y) = \mathbf{IC}^\bullet(Y)$. For varieties over $\mathbb{C}$, this can also be deduced from [Su] or [KS, Exercise IX.12].

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Mikhail Finkelberg, David Massey, Ivan Mirković, Kari Vilonen, and the referees for useful comments

and corrections, and Nick Katz for pointing out that Lemma 7 does not hold in the étale setting.

2. **Reduction to the affine case.** The following is an easy consequence of proper base change.

Lemma 4. *The hyperbolic localization functors commute with pullbacks by inclusions of open T-invariant subvarieties and with pushforwards by inclusions of closed T-equivariant subvarieties.*

To construct the natural morphism $\iota_S \colon S^{*!} \to S^{!*}$ of Theorem 1, it is enough to construct its restriction to each component of F. By removing all the components of F but one and using the lemma, we can assume that X has only one fixed point component. In this case $X^+ \cap X^- = F$, so the maps $f^\pm$, $g^\pm$ form a Cartesian square. Let $S^+ = (g^+)_*(g^+)^* S$, and let $\beta \colon S \to S^+$ be the adjunction morphism. Base change gives a natural isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} (S^+)^{*!} &= (f^-)^*(g^-)^!(g^+)_*(g^+)^* S \\ &\simeq (f^-)^*(f^-)_*(f^+)^!(g^+)^* S \simeq S^{!*} \end{aligned}$$

Define ι_S to be the composition of (1) with $\beta^{*!} \colon S^{*!} \to (S^+)^{*!}$. It is compatible with the pullback by the inclusion $j \colon U \to X$ of a Zariski open subset: we have $j^* \iota_S \simeq \iota_U j^*$.

Lemma 5. *Any normal T-variety defined over an algebraically closed field has a covering by T-invariant affine open subvarieties, each of which is equivariantly isomorphic to a T-invariant closed subvariety of an affine space $\mathbb{A}^N$ on which T acts linearly.*

This is a consequence of results of Sumihiro [Sum]. (We remark that this is the only place where we need that k is algebraically closed. Theorem 1 will still hold, for instance, when X is a real variety for which the conclusion of Lemma 5 can be verified directly – for instance, if X is a $T_{max}(\mathbb{R})$-invariant subvariety of a real flag variety $G(\mathbb{R})/B(\mathbb{R})$, where G is a semisimple algebraic group, B is a Borel subgroup, and T_{max} is a maximal split torus, all defined over $\mathbb{R}$).

As a result, it is enough to prove Theorem 1 when $X = \mathbb{A}^N$ with a linear T-action.

3. **Comparing pushforwards and pullbacks.** The proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 use the following technical lemma. Suppose that $T = G_m$ acts trivially on a variety Y, and that $W \to Y$ is a T-equivariant line bundle, provided with an equivariant splitting $W = W_+ \oplus W_-$ so that all the weights of W_+ are larger than all the weights of W_-.

Let $E = \mathbb{P}(W) \setminus \mathbb{P}(W_+)$ and $B = \mathbb{P}(W_-)$, where $\mathbb{P}(W)$ denotes the projective bundle of lines in W. Then T acts on E so that $\lim_{t \to 0} t \cdot x \in B$ for all $x \in E$. The resulting map $p \colon E \to B$ is given by $p([w_+, w_-]) = [0, w_-]$. Let $\phi \colon B \to Y$ and $i \colon B \to E$ be the projection and inclusion maps, respectively.

Lemma 6. *There are natural isomorphisms in* $\mathcal{D}(Y)$

$$\phi_* p_* S \simeq \phi_* i^* S, \quad \phi_* p_! S \simeq \phi_* i^! S$$

for any weakly equivariant object $S \in \mathcal{D}(E)$.

The proof is in §5.

If W_- is one-dimensional, we get the following special case: $\phi\colon B \to Y$ is an isomorphism, and E is an equivariant vector bundle over B with only positive weights. This case of the lemma is well-known; see [Sp], for instance. The general case when the action on B is non-trivial seems to be new, and is essential for the proof of Theorem 1.

This gives another description of hyperbolic localization. With the notation from the introduction, define projection maps $\pi^{\pm}\colon X^{\pm} \to F$ by $\pi^+(x) = \lim_{t\to 0} t\cdot x$ and $\pi^-(x) = \lim_{t\to\infty} t\cdot x$.

Adjunction gives natural morphisms

$$(\pi^-)_* \to (f^-)^*, \text{ and } (f^+)^! \to (\pi^+)_!.$$

They are isomorphisms for weakly equivariant objects, by Lemma 5 and special case of Lemma 6. Thus for any weakly equivariant $S \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ there are natural isomorphisms

$$S^{!*} \simeq (\pi^+)_! (g^+)^* S, \quad S^{*!} \simeq (\pi^-)_* (g^-)^! S. \tag{1}$$

4. **Proof of Theorem 1.** First note that if Theorem 1 holds for two objects $S, S' \in \mathcal{D}(X)$, then it holds for the cone of any morphism $S \to S'$. The following lemma then allows us to reduce the proof of Theorem 1 to the case that S is weakly equivariant.

Lemma 7. *If* $k = \mathbb{C}$ *and* R *is an algebraically closed field, then the smallest full subcategory of* $\mathcal{D}(X) = D^b(X; R)$ *containing all weakly equivariant objects which is closed under taking cones is the category of* T*-constructible objects.*

Proof. One can show that any local system on a T-invariant variety has a filtration with weakly equivariant subquotients, by using the Jordan decomposition of the monodromy generated by the action of a loop in T. The lemma follows from this by induction on strata. □ □

Thus we can assume that S is weakly equivariant. By the discussion of Section 2 we can also assume that $X = V$ is a k-vector space with a linear T-action. If $V = V_+ \oplus V_- \oplus V_0$ is the decomposition of V into subspaces where T acts with positive, negative, and zero weights, respectively, then we have $F = V_0$, $X^+ = V_+ \oplus V_0$, and $X^- = V_- \oplus V_0$.

Let j be the inclusion of the complement of X^+ into X, and consider the triangle containing the morphism β from §2:

$$j_! j^* S \to S \xrightarrow{\beta} (g^+)_* (g^+)^* S \xrightarrow{[1]}.$$

To show that ι_S is an isomorphism it will be enough to show that $(j_!j^*S)^{*!}$ vanishes, since then $\beta^{*!}$ is an isomorphism. Thus we can assume that $S|_{X^+} = 0$.

Let $\overline{X} = V_0 \times (\mathbb{P}(V_+ \oplus V_- \oplus k) \setminus \mathbb{P}(V_-))$; define a T-action by letting T act trivially on the summand k. There is an equivariant open embedding $b\colon X \to \overline{X}$ given by $b(v_+, v_-, v_0) = v_0 \times [v_+, v_-, 1]$.

The closure of $b(X^+)$ in $\overline{X}$ is $V_0 \times \mathbb{P}(V_+ \oplus k)$; denote it by $\overline{X^+}$, and let $\overline{g^+}$ be the inclusion into $\overline{X}$. Let π^-, $\overline{\pi^+}$, $\overline{\pi}$ be the projections of X^-, $\overline{X^+}$, and $\overline{X}$, respectively onto V_0.

Note that $b(X^-)$ is closed in $\overline{X}$; let h be the inclusion of the complement. There is a distinguished triangle:

$$b_*(g^-)_*(g^-)^!S \to b_!S \to h_*h^*b_!S \xrightarrow{[1]} \tag{2}$$

Applying $\overline{\pi}_*$, the left term becomes

$$\overline{\pi}_*b_*(g^-)_*(g^-)^!S = (\pi^-)_*(g^-)^!S \simeq S^{*!},$$

using (1). Theorem 1 will follow if we can show that $\overline{\pi}_*$ annihilates the second and third terms of (2).

For the second term we see that $E = \overline{X}$, $B = \overline{X^+}$ are of the form given in Lemma 6, so we get $\overline{\pi}_*b_!S = (\overline{\pi^+})_*(\overline{f^+})^*b_!S$. This vanishes, since $S|_{X^+} = 0$ implies $(b_!S)|_{\overline{X^+}} = 0$.

For the third term we use Lemma 6 again, this time with $E = \overline{X} \setminus X$, $B = \overline{X^+} \setminus X^+$. This is a vector bundle with only negative weights, so using the special case of Lemma 6 (with the opposite T-action), we get $\overline{\pi}_*h_*h^*b_!S \simeq \overline{\pi}_*a^*b_!S = 0$, where a is the inclusion of $\overline{X^+} \setminus X^+$ into $\overline{X}$.

5. **Proof of Theorem 2.** We recall the properties of mixed Hodge modules and mixed l-adic sheaves that we will need to prove Theorem 2; more information can be found in [BBD], [S].

If $k = \mathbb{C}$, we let $\mathcal{D}_m(X)$ be Saito's category of mixed Hodge modules. If $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ and X arises by extension of scalars from a variety X_0 defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, we let $\mathcal{D}_m(X)$ be the category $D^b_{mixed}(X_0; \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l})$ of mixed $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ sheaves on X_0. These two situations share the following formal properties.

There is a triangulated functor $r\colon \mathcal{D}_m(X) \to \mathcal{D}(X)$ with the property that $r(S) = 0$ if and only if $S = 0$; it follows that any morphism α in $\mathcal{D}_m(X)$ is an isomorphism if and only if $r(\alpha)$ is.

For any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are full subcategories $\mathcal{D}_{\leq w}(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\geq w}(X)$ of $\mathcal{D}_m(X)$. Objects of $\mathcal{D}_{\leq w}(X) \cap \mathcal{D}_{\geq w}(X)$ are called *pure of weight* w. If Y is a subvariety of X and $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, the intersection cohomology sheaf $\mathbf{IC}^\bullet(Y) \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ with constant coefficients extended by 0 to X is isomorphic to $r(L)$ for an object $L \in \mathcal{D}_m(X)$ which is pure of weight w. Conversely, if S is pure, then $r(S)$ is a direct sum of intersection cohomology sheaves with possibly non-constant coefficients.

Given an algebraic map $f\colon X \to Y$, the functors f^*, $f^!$, f_*, $f_!$ lift to functors between $\mathcal{D}_m(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}_m(Y)$ which satisfy the same adjunction

properties as their non-mixed versions. We have

$$f_*\mathcal{D}_{\geq w}(X) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\geq w}(Y),\ f^!\mathcal{D}_{\geq w}(Y) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\geq w}(X)$$
$$f_!\mathcal{D}_{\leq w}(X) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\leq w}(Y),\ f^*\mathcal{D}_{\leq w}(Y) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\leq w}(X)$$

We say an object $S \in \mathcal{D}_m(X)$ is weakly equivariant or T-constructible if the same property holds for $r(S)$.

Using these properties, we can now deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 1. The isomorphisms (1) and the transformation ι_S are defined by means of the standard adjunctions, so they lift to isomorphisms on weakly equivariant mixed sheaves. Thus, for any $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{\leq w}(X)^{!*} = (\pi^+)_!(g^+)^*\mathcal{D}_{\leq w}(X) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\leq w}(F), \text{ and}$$
$$\mathcal{D}_{\geq w}(X)^{!*} = \mathcal{D}_{\geq w}(X)^{*!} = (\pi^-)_*(g^-)^!\mathcal{D}_{\geq w}(X) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\geq w}(F).$$

We have proved:

Theorem 8. *The hyperbolic localization functors preserve purity of weakly equivariant mixed sheaves.*

Theorem 2 follows.

6. **Proof of Lemma 6.** We will prove the first isomorphism of Lemma 6; the second follows by dualizing. The following proof is a generalization of an argument of Springer [Sp].

Applying ϕ_*p_* to the adjunction map $S \to i_*i^*S$ gives a natural map $\phi_*p_*S \to \phi_*i^*S$. If it is an isomorphism for two objects in a distinguished triangle, it will also be an isomorphism for the third. If j is the inclusion of $E \setminus i(B)$ into E, we have a distinguished triangle:

$$j_!j^*S \to S \to i_*i^*S \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

Since Lemma 6 is clearly true for i_*i^*S, it is enough to show it when $S = j_!j^*S$. In this case $i^*S = 0$, and we must prove that $\phi_*p_*S = 0$.

Let Γ_μ be the graph of the action map $\mu\colon T \times E \to E$, and let Γ be its closure in $\mathbb{A}^1 \times E \times E$. Let $q_1,\ q_2\colon \Gamma \to \mathbb{A}^1 \times E$ be the restrictions of the projections π_{12} and π_{13}. An easy computation in coordinates shows that if $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is the closure of Γ_μ in $\mathbb{A}^1 \times E \times \mathbb{P}(W)$, then $\widetilde{\Gamma} \setminus \Gamma_\mu \subset \{0\} \times E \times i(B)$. As a result, $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma$, and the map q_1 is proper.

Let K denote the coefficient ring of our sheaves. We will write K_Z to denote the constant rank 1 local system on a variety Z, placed in degree 0. Define a map $\psi = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \times (\phi p)\colon \mathbb{A}^1 \times E \to \mathbb{A}^1 \times Y$. Adjunction gives a morphism

$$\begin{aligned}(3)\qquad \psi_*(K_{\mathbb{A}^1} \boxtimes S) &\to \psi_*q_{2*}q_2^*(K_{\mathbb{A}^1} \boxtimes S)\\ &\simeq \psi_*q_{1!}q_2^*(K_{\mathbb{A}^1} \boxtimes S),\end{aligned}$$

using the properness of q_1 and the fact that $\psi q_1 = \psi q_2$. The object on the left is isomorphic to $K_{\mathbb{A}^1} \boxtimes \phi_*p_*S$, by smooth base change. Since q_2 restricts to an isomorphism over $T \times E$, we see that α is an isomorphism on $T \times Y$.

Let $\widetilde{S}$ be the right hand side of (3). We will show that $\widetilde{S}|_{\{0\}\times Y} = 0$. Since $\widetilde{S}|_{T\times Y} \simeq K_T \boxtimes \phi_* p_* S$, this implies that $\widetilde{S} \simeq b_! K_T \boxtimes \phi_* p_* S$, where $b\colon T \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is the inclusion.

Composing the morphism (3) with the morphism $b_! K_T \boxtimes \phi_* p_* S \to K_{\mathbb{A}^1} \boxtimes \phi_* p_* S$ obtained from the adjunction morphism $b_! K_T \to K_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ gives an endomorphism of $K_{\mathbb{A}^1} \boxtimes \phi_* p_* S$ which is an isomorphism over $T \times Y$ but which restricts to 0 on $\{0\} \times Y$. Since this is only possible if $\widetilde{S} = 0$, we must have $\phi_* p_* S = 0$, as desired.

Finally, to show that $\widetilde{S}|_{\{0\}\times Y} = 0$, let $E_0 = E \setminus i(B)$, and consider the maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T \times E_0 & \xrightarrow{\hat{\jmath}} & \Gamma \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi' q_2} \\ E_0 & \xrightarrow{\ j\ } & E \end{array}$$

where j is the inclusion, $\hat{\jmath}(t, x) = (t, t^{-1} \cdot x, x)$ and π, π' are the projections from $T \times E_0$ and $\mathbb{A}^1 \times E$ onto the second factors. The square commutes, and is in fact Cartesian, since $(\pi' q_2)^{-1}(E_0) \subset \Gamma_\mu$.

Let $S_0 = S|_{E_0}$. Then $S \simeq j_! S_0$, so

$$\widetilde{S} \simeq \psi_* q_{1!} q_2^* (\pi')^* j_! S_0 \simeq \psi_* q_{1!} \hat{\jmath}_! \pi^* S_0.$$

Since S, and thus S_0, is weakly equivariant, we have

$$(q_{1!} \hat{\jmath}_! \pi^* S_0)|_{T\times E_0} \simeq L \boxtimes S_0$$

for some local system L on T. This implies $q_{1!} \hat{\jmath}_! \pi^* S_0 \simeq b_! L \boxtimes j_! S_0 = b_! L \boxtimes S$, since the stalks of this sheaf vanish outside of $T \times E_0$. Applying ψ_* now gives

$$\widetilde{S} \simeq b_! L \boxtimes \phi_* p_* S,$$

which implies $\widetilde{S}|_{\{0\}\times Y} = 0$, as required.

References

[BBD] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux Pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982).

[BL] J. Bernstein and V. Lunts, *Equivariant sheaves and functors*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1578**, 1994.

[B-B] A. Białynicki-Birula, *Some theorems on actions of algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. **98**, (1973), no. 3, 480–497.

[BiBr] S. Billey and T. Braden, *Lower bounds for Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials from patterns*, this volume.

[BrM] T. Braden and R. MacPherson, *Intersection homology of toric varieties and a conjecture of Kalai*, Comment. Math. Helv. **74** (1999), no. 3, 442–455.

[Gi] V. Ginzburg, $\mathbb{C}^*$ *actions and complex geometry*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 3, 483–490.

[GM] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Intersection homology II*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), no. 1, 77–129.

[GM2] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson, *Local contribution to the Lefschetz fixed point formula*, Invent. Math. **111** (1993), no. 1, 1–33.

[Ki] F. Kirwan, *Intersection homology and torus actions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), no. 2, 385–400.
[KS] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, Sheaves on Manifolds, Springer 1990.
[S] M. Saito, *Introduction to mixed Hodge modules*, Astérisque **179-180** (1989), 145–162.
[Sp] T. Springer, *A purity result for fixed point varieties in flag manifolds*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **31** (1984), no. 2, 271–282.
[Su] D. Sullivan *Combinatorial invariants of analytic spaces*, 1971 Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities—Symposium, I (1969/70) pp. 165–168, Springer, Berlin.
[Sum] H. Sumihiro, *Equivariant completion*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **14** (1974), 1–28.

University of Massachusetts, Amherst
E-mail address: braden@math.umass.edu